PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE FILE MAINTENANCE SERVICES

,	Vendor Being Evaluated				
s	The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) manages a file repository of over 8 million files. We are in the process of contracting with a vendor to provide file maintenance services. As part of the solicitation, the potential vendor has been asked to distribute this questionnaire to a point-of-contact from their previous projects. You have been selected by the vendor as the owner 's representative to provide an evaluation of their past performance.				
th	Please answer all the questions. If a question does not apply, mark it "N/A" (Not Applicable). Verify that the name of the vendor is included at the top of each page. Return the completed questionnaire to Mr. John R. Baumert, no later than Tuesday, January 20, 2004. By mail to: Mr. John R. Baumert U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Office of Procurement – Box 6 P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450				
	Or by facsimile at (703) 305-8294				
	If you have any questions or concerns about this evaluation, feel free to contact Mr. John R. Baumert at 703-305-8371. Your response is greatly appreciated.				
]	Evaluator's Firm Name and Address				
	Project				
	Evaluator	-			
	Signature	_ Date			
	Telephone	_ Fax			
1	E-Mail	_			
(Contract Details				
]	Period of Time Covered	to)		
9	% of Contract Complete				
(Contract Type (circle one) Fixed Price	Lur	mp Sum	Other	
1	Approximate Contract Value				

Brief summary of services provided:

VENDOR BEING EVALUATED:	VENDOR BEING EVALUATED:
-------------------------	-------------------------

FILE MAINTENANCE VENDOR QUESTIONNAIRE

Qu	<u>iality</u>					
•	Did the v	endor have an o	organized approach	to the requirement?		
	Yes	Usually	☐ Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence	☐ No	
•	Did the v	endor provide a	dequate, knowledge	eable, and skilled staff?		
	Yes	Usually	☐ Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence	☐ No	
•	Did the v	endor utilize ve	hicles that were in g	good working condition?		
	☐ Yes	Usually	☐ Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence	☐ No	
•	Were con	ncerns addressed	d promptly by the st	aff and resolved?		
	Yes	Usually	☐ Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence	☐ No	
Со	mments:					
	stomer Se	rvica				
<u></u>		<u></u>	nd your mission and	priorities?		
	Yes	Usually	Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence	☐ No	
•	Did the vendor commit adequate resources in a timely fashion to the contract to meet the requirement and successfully solve variations to the requirement?					
	Yes	Usually	☐ Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence	□ No	
•	Did the v	endor offer sug	gestions on improvi	ng the requirement?		
	Yes	Usually	☐ Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence	☐ No	
How satisfied were you with the work of the vendor?				vendor?		
	☐ Very	Satisfied	☐ Some	ewhat Satisfied		
Co	mments:					
<u>Tiı</u>	<u>meliness of</u>	Performance				
•	Did the v	endor adhere to		as specified in the contract?		
	☐ Yes	Usually	☐ Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence	☐ No	
•	Did the v	endor's manage	ement provide information	mation in a timely manner?		
	☐ Yes	Usually	☐ Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence	☐ No	
Co	mments:					

VI	INDOK BE	EING EVALUA	TED			
Bu	siness Rela	ations				
•	Was the	Was the vendor's management accessible when you needed to contact them?				
	Yes	Usually	☐ Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence	☐ No	
•	Was the	Was the vendor proactive regarding concerns about issues that may impact contract performance?				
	Yes	Usually	Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence	☐ No	
•	Did the v	endor communi	icate well with you	and your firm's technical advisors?		
	Yes	Usually	☐ Sometimes	Rarely without owner's persistence	☐ No	
	Would you hire this vendor again?					
	Yes			Possibly	☐ No	
Ca						
Co	mments:					
_						

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ASSISTANCE IN COMPLETING THIS QUESTIONNAIRE